Cr. Revision No.138 of 2007.

13.11.2007. Present: Mr. Sunil M. Goel, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. P.M. Negi, Dy. Advocate General, for the State-respondent.

Even though Mr. Goel has invited my attention to order dated 10th June, 2004 passed by this Court in Criminal Revision No. 221 of 2003 whereby the charges framed against the petitioner-accused earlier were quashed and the matter was remitted to the learned trial Court for re-hearing the parties on the question of framing of the charges afresh, I find from the reading of the impugned order passed by the learned trial Court on 19th September, 2007 that the learned trial Court, taking into account all relevant aspects of the matter has rightly come to a conclusion that based upon the material on record, the charges against the accused were required to be framed. I do not wish to comment any further in this matter lest any comment by this Court may influence the outcome of the trial or prejudice the case of the parties before the learned trial Court. Suffice it to say that the impugned order does not call for any interference. The petition is dismissed in limine.

Cr.MPs No.541 and 542 of 2007.

In view of the dismissal of the revision petition, both the applications shall stand dismissed.

13th November, 2007. (V.K. Gupta), C.J.

(tr)